

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 1270188 DA	ATE: <u>04/08/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:00 P.M.</u>	DEPART: <u>2:45 P.M.</u>		
FACILITY NAME: G	EL C&D LANDFILL & RECYCL	ING FACILITY			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1200 S LEAVITT AVE				
	ORANGE CITY 32763	6-7114			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: Trea@GELro CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI		Evans PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(904)775-5385		
Facility Section					

PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> CON	IPLIANCE <u>STATUS</u> (check ∅ onl	y one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING

	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mr. Trea Evans	(check ☑ box for each	
	Brief Notes: Crusher has changed from fuel to electric power.		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still ?	Yes Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still ?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1-Concrete Crushing</u>

box	for	each	question)
-----	-----	------	-----------

Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}			
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes ☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No ⊠No □No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

1 - Concrete Crushing

 9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
downstream of wet mining operation, bucket elevator of ben conveyor in the production inte- downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Xes Yes	No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	XNo
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests:		
 a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from and a startup of the startup	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No

1 – Concrete Crushing

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	—	—
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🗌 N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	- 🗌 Yes	No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		_
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	∐ Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	— -	<u> </u>
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	⊠No
21.Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No

|--|

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		_
initial startup of the EU? 🕅 N/A		Yes	No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Yes	🖾No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	e		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/28/2010</u>			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	\square	Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			_
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\square	Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ē	Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	\square	Yes	🖂No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ē	Yes	No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\square	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six-minute average.		100	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	\square	Yes	No
d. Did the vE test demonstrate compliance with the opticity mint. (See chart below).		105	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	🖾No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	\square No
Rate:		105	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\square	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	Ľ	100	
 d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) 	\square	Yes	No
d. Did the vE test demonstrate compnance with the opacity mint: (see chart below)		105	vo

VE Opacity Limits					
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%		

<u>RI</u>	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	D No No
	areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \blacksquare only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ------ Yes ...No b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------ X Yes ...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ \overline{X} Yes ..No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes X..No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes X...No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
		No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	No
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ?	
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes	No

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	No
3.	 b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces 		DNo
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- Xes	No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ⊠ is stationary; □ is relocatable; or □ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) 	box for each c	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone. e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.90 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	Yes	□No □No
 3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air op permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	t: 🗌 Yes	□No
 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 		□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	2	
	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or	⊠No	
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	No	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:				
	 Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	- 🛛 Yes - 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	⊠No □No ⊠No ⊠No	
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?		XNo	

John Vigliotti

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

04/08/2011

Date of Inspection

04/2016

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Crusher has changed from fuel to electric power.